# Disproving the Mythology of non-Euclidean Geometry with a Single Question

Tuesday, August 11, 2020

The answer to just a single question reveals the standard upon which all of information processing is accomplished by physical, biological, and grammatical fact. Is it possible to disprove all the so called genius's of the world, even Einstein and Hawkins, with a single question?

Actually it most certainly is and you can ask your own computer to verify the answer by fact.

Here is the question:—

Is it possible for binary recursion to produce a non-binary result? Now, if you are too stupid to answer the question, you can ask your computer, or I can give you a hint: Absolutely not.

There is a Book, which the majority of the human race is familiar with, in one form or another which is provably an artifact which is used for guided evolution and, when a species passes a certain cusp point in that evolution, serves as First Contact Protocol. This book states repeatedly, that the human race would be illiterate until after a certain cusp point in its evolution; a time when a pure language is introduced to it on a global basis.

Binary is a language, every expression you see of it, spoken, written, or used, is the foundation of every system of grammar. Language is Universal and Intelligible. To the level of intelligence which has evolved in a species will that species write systems of grammar which is an expression of that intelligible binary. Language, as an intelligible, can never be taught, or thought, a realization of it, is a biological inheritance which is the foundation of intelligence. Every expression of the intelligible, is some particular example. The particular is perceptible, not the intelligible. Take your computer apart, examine it with the best

electron microscopes you have, you will not find the intelligible binary there, even though the Chomsky's of the world are trying to do just that with the human brain. How stupid can you be? Ask them.

Every possible thing is composed, as some ancient Greeks called Dialectic, grammar effected by two together, has traditionally been thought to mean two people, despite the many usages and explanations of it. This binary denotes the only two abstractions by which every thing is known, limits and the relative difference between those limits, relatives, or verbs, and correlatives, or nouns. It is expressed even by the simple line segment produced by the hand and by which the analog system of grammar called geometry is produced. Play with any light switch, off, on, off. Park yourself in a dark room; flip the switch until you see the light.

So, you can be as dumb as a box of rocks and claim that a thing is different from itself, but every system of grammar that is producible, is produced with exactly one definition of a thing, a unit which affords grammar systems the ability to function by binary recursion.

By provable binary recursion, a mind can only produce exactly four categories of Grammar; Common Grammar, Arithmetic, Algebra and Geometry. Therefore, if you claim that a unit is different from itself, you have to prove it in each of these systems of grammar for every one of them must always say, as Plato notes, exactly one and the same things. So much for the mythologies of the Einstein's of the world who could not even comprehend Euclid.

Now was that difficult?

Oh, by the way, the Book which states that mankind would be illiterate until after the start of the age of the computer? It is the Bible.

So, all you weak minded souls, prove that a thing is different from itself, and show, like the self-proclaimed genius's which run your

educational institutions that you can not spot a self-referential fallacy when it is biting you firmly in the ass. Nor can you simply invent grammar systems, called Predicate Calculus, Cartesian Geometry, Calculus, or even non-Euclidean Geometry ad infinitum. All of these only prove that their proponents did not even know what a grammar system is. Grammar systems are not theoretical; they are a biological product of the intelligible binary and each of them is demonstrated by binary recursion itself.

As even that dim witted Aristotle stated, there is absolutely no third man. Binary recursion, based on the definition of any and every possible thing, always produces a binary result. Let your yea's be yea's and your nay's be nay's.

I think that there is some fundamental flaw in those who claim to be genius's that they do not know the difference between the perceptible and the intelligible, not even when they read. For example: What is that pure language which not only denotes the life of the body, but also the life of a functional mind? Well, it is right in Genesis: Adam and Eve are a Conjugate Binary Pair, which, by complete induction and deduction, also known as recursion produces the human race: Exactly the same definition which makes your computer able to process all information using simple binary. So now you should also know what it means to open the eyes of the blind. A mind becomes functional and is able to do its biologically defined job only after it recognizes the distinction and the parallel, between Language and Grammar and the perceptible and intelligible.

So, argue with your computer. Tell it that the binary it is using is really some mystical third estate on an imaginary planet in a fictitious alternate reality or parallel universe. Or grow a brain. In any case, as was written, evolution will take care of your stupid ass.

Many scholars have asked the question; how do you prove which geometry is true? The producers of the Bible answer, just wait to grow a brain, you will figure it out.

And all of you lame evolutionist; is it possible to have evolved language skills, called grammar systems, unless the intelligible binary were not expressed in every thing in order to produce the stress to evolve a mind at all? Absolutely not. No form of life has ever evolved in response to the delusions and simple mindedness of today's so called intellectual elites, nor have any of them ever evolved wings to fly between parallel universes. You can certainly travel between mental institutions, the only place that treats those afflicted with alternate realities, but there has been no stress response in evolution outside of crooked insurance companies.

Imagine that. Who would ever think of writing an operating system not recognizing binary recursion? Or what higher institution of learning would do it? So why in the hell are they always contradicting themselves? Either it is binary, or we have a whole lot of chefs in the world trying to make dinner by waving their knives in the air and infinite number of times, hoping to god, that it just falls out of the air through some trans-dimensional portal.

Oh, my gowd! Did Adam and Eve just have a baby with a brain? How did that happen? Better to have every computer dismantled than to admit this to the public. Nail that to a cross, sacrifice the abominations of your minds, your sons and daughters to the computer, to your god. The only power a mind can ever know, ever express, is determined by physical fact, and as Plato noted, if it cannot do this, it has no power at all. The mythologies of mankind are certainly not advancements in human understanding or human evolution. Witch doctors have been making those claims since the dawn of mankind.